

Automatic selection of contiguous forest reserves

SSAFR 18.-21.8.2015, Uppsala

Master Thesis of Sabrina Maurer

Hans Rudolf Heinimann Leo Bont

ETH Zurich, Switzerland



Problem – selection of reserves



Sabrina Maurer



Problem – real world situation



Sabrina Maurer



Aim of the thesis

Develop a general model for an automatic selection of contiguous forest reserves considering ecological and economical aspects





Sabrina Maurer









7



Inputs

Conservation aim

- Decision units
- Constraints



Assessment criteria incl. weighting

Input

Conservation aim

- Decision units
- Constraints
- Assessment criteria incl. weighting





Input

Conservation aim

- Decision units
- Constraints



Assessment criteria incl. weighting

Input

- Conservation aim
- Decision units
- Constraints
- Assessment criteria incl. weighting



Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Т

Optimization

Integer Linear Programming (ILP)

Objective: Maximize the suitability of the selected patches

Constraints:

- Maximum area
- Number of regions
- Contiguity

ILP

- Forest represented as a network
- Add exit node
- Search a path from

start node to exit node

Model parameters

| letter | description |
|-----------------|--|
| X _i | 1 if patch i is selected, 0 otherwise |
| y _{i0} | 1 if edge between patch i and exit node is selected, 0 otherwise |
| y _{ij} | 1 if edge between patch i and j is selected, 0 otherwise |
| y _{ji} | 1 if edge between patch j and i is selected, 0 otherwise |
| h _i | Suitability of patch i |
| В | Maximum area |
| C _i | Area of patch i |
| R | Maximum quantity of regions |
| l | set of patches i |
| Ν | Number of patches |
| N _i | Set of adjacent patches j of patch i |
| G | Set of patches i of the cycle (=potential start node) |

Analytical Model (ILP)

Objective Function

s.t.

Cycle prohibiting constraints

Solutions with several cycles

Introduce new constraints which prohibit cycles

(from Church and Cova, 2000)

Cycle prohibiting constraints

for all $i \in G$ $x_i \leq e$, **u** is the equivalent of $e \leq \sum_{i \in G} w_i$ y in the cycle $w_i + \sum_{j \in N_i} u_{ji} = \sum_{j \in N_i} u_{ij},$ for all $i \in G$ w_i: 1 if node i is the start node of the cycle, $\sum_{j\in N_i} u_{ji} = \sum_{j\in N_i} u_{ij,}$ 0 otherwise for all i ∉ G e: 1 if a cycle exists, $e = \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{i0}$ 0 otherwise for all i ∈ I $u_{ij} \leq y_{ij}$ $e \in \{0,1\}, \qquad u_{i\,i} \in \{0,1\}, \qquad w_i \in \{0,1\}$

Т

Results – 1 region vs. 2 regions

Wednesday, 19. August 2015

Sabrina Maurer

Results – stepping stones

Summary

Outlook

Improvement

- Include barriers
- Other patch shapes
- Better geodata
- More computing power

Need for research

- Better knowledge about behaviour and needs of species
- Faster mathematical models to ensure contiguity

Thanks a lot for your attention!

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

ILP formula part 1

Maximize the suitability

$$Max \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j \in N_i} y_{ji} * h_i$$

Budget constraint or maximum selected area must be adhered to:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i * c_i \le B$$

If patch i is selected, at least one link must lead away from it:

$$\sum_{j\in N_i} y_{ij} \ge x_i,$$

for all i ∈ I

If a link leads to patch i, at least one link must lead away from it:

$$y_{ji} \le \sum_{j \in N_i} y_{ij}$$

for all i ∈ I

ILP formula part 2

The number of links to the exit node, has to be less or equal than the allowed number of regions:

| Ν | | | |
|-------------------|-----|--------|---|
| $\mathbf{\nabla}$ | 17. | ~ | D |
| | Уi0 | \geq | Λ |
| $\overline{i=1}$ | | | |

If a link leads to patch i, it must be selected:

| y_{ij} | $\leq x_i$, | |
|----------|--------------|--|
|----------|--------------|--|

for all i ∈ I

One link can only lead in one direction:

 $y_{ij} + y_{ji} \le 1$

The decision variables must be 0 or 1:

 $x_i \in \{0,1\}, y_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$

| Cycle prohibiting constraints part 1 | | | | |
|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| If there is a cycle, the contiguity constraints must be activated: | | | | |
| $x_i \leq e$, | for all i ∈ G | | | |
| If the contiguity constraints are active, the flow must start from a node in the cycle: | | | | |
| $e \leq \sum_{i \in G} w_i$ | | | | |
| Flow balance in the potential start nodes (Input = Output): | | | | |
| $w_i + \sum_{j \in N_i} u_{ji} = \sum_{j \in N_i} u_{ij}$ | for all i ∈ G | | | |
| Flow balance in the nodes (except for start nodes): | | | | |
| $\sum_{j\in N_i} u_{ji} = \sum_{j\in N_i} u_{ij,j}$ | for all i ∉ G | | | |

Cycle prohibiting constraints part 2

If the contiguity network is to be activated, one link to the exit node must exist:

$$e = \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{i0}$$

If the contiguity network is to be activated, a connection to the corresponding problem must exist:

 $u_{ij} \leq y_{ij}$

for all i ∈ I

The decision variables must be 0 or 1:

 $e \in \{0,1\}, \quad u_{ij} \in \{0,1\}, \quad w_i \in \{0,1\}$